The role of obesity, biomechanical constitution of the pelvis and contact joint stress in progression of hip osteoarthritis
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Summary

Objective: The aim of our study was to explore whether earlier hip arthroplasty for idiopathic osteoarthritis (OA) might be explained by enlarged contact stress in the hip joint, and to what amount can that be attributed to obesity and biomechanical constitution of the pelvis.

Method: Fifty subjects were selected from a list of consecutive recipients of hip endoprosthesis due to idiopathic OA; standard pelvic radiographs made years prior to surgery were the main selection criteria. For 65 hips resultant hip force and peak contact hip stress normalized to the body weight ($R/Wb$ and $p_{max}/Wb$) were determined from the radiographs with the HIPSTRESS method. Body weight and body mass index (BMI) were obtained with an interview. Regression analysis was used to correlate parameters of obesity (body weight, BMI), biomechanical constitution of the pelvis ($R/Wb$, $p_{max}/Wb$) and mechanical loading within the hip joint ($R$, $p_{max}$) with age at hip arthroplasty.

Results: Younger age at hip arthroplasty was associated with higher body weight ($P = 0.009$), higher peak contact hip stress normalized to the body weight ($p_{max}/Wb$; $P = 0.019$), higher resultant hip force $R$ ($P = 0.027$) and larger peak contact hip stress $p_{max}$ ($P < 0.001$), but not with BMI ($P = 0.121$) or $R/Wb$ ($P = 0.614$).

Conclusion: Our results suggest that enlarged contact stress ($p_{max}$) plays an important role in rapid progression of hip OA with both obesity (increased body weight) and unfavorable biomechanical constitution of the pelvis (greater $p_{max}/Wb$) contributing.

© 2008 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

The process of joint degeneration that leads to clinical symptoms of osteoarthritis (OA) remains poorly understood1. However, it has been pointed out that the evidence for the moderate influence of obesity on hip OA development is growing3. Higher relative risks were found for hip OA in subjects with increased body mass indices (BMI), with even higher risks attributed to increased body weight2. Most researchers nowadays believe the effect of overweight on OA occurrence in weight-bearing joints is predominantly a mechanical one. A similar mechanism has previously been suggested to be responsible for higher incidence of coxarthrosis in farmers involved in heavy lifting4. Since mechanical compressive stress was found to be related to chondrocyte senescence5, increased loading forces within the hip joint due to excess body weight or heavy lifting with consequently enlarged peak contact hip stress could be responsible for accelerated loss of chondrocyte function and earlier degenerative thinning of articular cartilage.

Although previous studies on biomechanics of the hip failed to show major importance of compressive stress in the etiology of primary coxarthrosis6, a new analytical method for calculating the resultant hip force and peak contact stress challenged the conclusions made above. In the last few years the HIPSTRESS method7 has successfully provided mathematical evidence of reduction in contact hip stress after operative procedures for developmental dysplasia of the hip8, avascular necrosis of the femoral head9 and slipped capital femoral epiphysis10, as well as indicated the importance of stress in idiopathic hip OA development.

Higher hip stress was observed in a hip with subsequent hip arthroplasty compared to the contralateral hip in the same subject with either unilateral or bilateral disease11. Furthermore, hip stress among the healthy was shown to decrease with age of the population, which was presumed to be caused by the gradual shifting of hips with higher stress into the population of OA hips12. Similar hip joint forces and peak contact stresses compared to those obtained by experimental studies constitute a sort of consensus validity for the HIPSTRESS method, even though no reciprocal validation was ever performed13.

Since biomechanical constitution of the pelvic ring and hips affects peak contact hip stress distribution and magnitude14, the importance of enlarged stress due to hip deformity has become appreciated in secondary OA etiology.
Most supporting data comes from studies on subjects with developmental dysplasia of the hip. Within the population of dysplastic hips, those with higher contact stress underwent joint degeneration earlier, which resulted in clinical and radiological unsatisfactory outcomes. Comparing populations of healthy and dysplastic hips, higher cumulative hip stress was suggested to be responsible for faster OA occurrence in hips with developmental dysplasia.

The aim of our study was to further explore the role of compressive mechanical stress in progression of idiopathic hip OA. We pursued the idea by determining whether earlier hip arthroplasty might be related to enlarged contact stress within the hip joint. The question was addressed by studying the relationship between age at hip arthroplasty, and parameters of obesity, biomechanical constitution of the pelvis and mechanical loading within the hip joint.

Methods

Subjects were recruited from a group of 431 consecutive female recipients of total hip endoprostheses. Secondary causes for OA of the hip were used as clinical exclusion criteria in 92 patients. Archives were searched in order to recover 90 standard anterior–posterior pelvic radiographs made years prior to the operation as routine clinical images in the supine position. Acetabular protrusion, dysplasia, considerable joint space narrowing and large osteophytes were used as radiographic exclusion criteria in 27 patients. Mean age at pelvic radiographs taking was 62.5 (±8.1) years and at hip arthroplasty 68.5 (±7.8) years for 35 excluded hips. Radiographs with both hips and pelvis clearly visible, and with spherical femoral heads, which complied with Mose circle templates, were obtained for 63 patients.

We were unable to locate three of them and two patients have died by the time of the study. The rest were questioned by a single health care professional in a telephone interview to remember their most constant lifelong height and body weight. Four patients were unwilling to give informed consent and four were unable to report reliable data due to extreme fluctuations of body weight through life. BMI defined as body weight (kg) divided by height squared (m²) was calculated for 50 female subjects (participation rate 79%) with performed 65 total hip arthroplasties (35 unilateral and 15 bilateral). Significantly larger contact stresses were observed in operated than in contralateral hips, as published in a previous study.

Pelvic radiographs were used to evaluate peak contact hip stress with the HIPSTRESS method, which is based on a three-dimensional biomechanical model of the resultant hip force in the one-legged stance and a three-dimensional mathematical model of contact hip stress distribution. It has shown good repeatability (intra-class correlation coefficient for pmax/Wb being 0.94) when adjusted for pelvic/femoral inclination. Body weight and geometrical parameters of the pelvis and proximal femora are used as input data by these models. The following parameters were measured from the pelvic contours (Fig. 1) and used to calculate the resultant hip force: the pelvic height (H), the pelvic width laterally from the center of the articular sphere (C), the interhip distance (l) and the coordinates of the insertion of the effective muscle on the greater trochanter in respect to the center of the articular sphere (x, z). Accounting for the 10% magnification of the radiographs (except for the scale-independent center-edge angle), the corrected values of the parameters were used. The equations for the equilibrium of forces and torques were solved to provide the magnitude of the resultant hip force (R) and its inclination with respect to the vertical (θw). They were used together with center-edge angle (θCE) and radius of the femoral head (r) to calculate peak contact hip stress (pmax); the corresponding resultant hip force and peak stress normalized to the body weight (R/Wb, pmax/Wb), representing biomechanical constitution of the pelvis, were calculated.

Univariate regression analysis was used to correlate age at hip arthroplasty with parameters of obesity (body weight, BMI), biomechanical constitution of the pelvis, were calculated. Multivariate regression analysis was used to correlate age at hip arthroplasty with parameters of obesity (body weight, BMI), biomechanical constitution of the pelvis, and radiological unsatisfactory outcomes. Comparing populations of healthy and dysplastic hips, higher cumulative hip stress was suggested to be responsible for faster OA occurrence in hips with developmental dysplasia.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Magnitude of the resultant hip force} & = R (kN) \\
\text{Peak contact hip stress normalized to body weight} & = \frac{p_{\text{max}}}{Wb} \\
\text{Inclination of the resultant hip force} & = \theta_w (°) \\
\text{Horizontal offset of abductor muscle insertion} & = x (mm) \\
\text{Vertical offset of abductor muscle insertion} & = z (mm) \\
\text{Center-edge angle} & = \theta_{\text{CE}} (°)
\end{align*}
\]

All variables described as mean (±SD) unless otherwise stated.

Results

The characteristics of the study population, eligible hips and their radiographic parameters are presented in Table I. Pelvic radiographs were taken on average at 62.5 (±8.5) years of age, while hip arthroplasty was performed at 67.6 (±8.4) years of age.

The relationship between age at hip arthroplasty and parameters of obesity, biomechanical constitution of the pelvis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of subjects</th>
<th>n = 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female gender</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body height (cm)</td>
<td>164 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>71 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index – BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.2 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of eligible hips</th>
<th>n = 65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at hip arthroplasty (years)</td>
<td>67.6 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at pelvic radiographs’ taking (years)</td>
<td>62.5 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak contact hip stress – pmax (MPa)*</td>
<td>1.69 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak contact hip stress normalized to body weight – (\frac{p_{\text{max}}}{Wb}), kPa/N)</td>
<td>2.45 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination of the resultant hip force – (\theta_w) (°)</td>
<td>1.79 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resultant hip force normalized to body weight – (\frac{R}{Wb})*</td>
<td>2.57 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal offset of abductor muscle insertion – x (mm)*</td>
<td>198 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical offset of abductor muscle insertion – z (mm)*</td>
<td>67 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center-edge angle – (\theta_{\text{CE}}) (°)*</td>
<td>35 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please refer to Fig. 1 for further details.
and mechanical loading within the hip joint is presented in Table II. Using univariate regression analysis, a year earlier arthroplasty was found to be associated with 4.5 kg increase in body weight \( (P = 0.016) \) and statistically insignificant 2.5 kg/m² increase in BMI \( (P = 0.121) \). With regard to biomechanical constitution of the pelvis, 1 year sooner total hip replacement was related to 0.263 kPa/N increase in \( \text{pmax/Wb} \) \( (P = 0.034) \), but not \( \text{R/Wb} \) \( (P = 0.614) \). Younger age at hip arthroplasty correlated well with increased mechanical loading within the hip joint; 147 N greater \( R \) \( (P = 0.027) \) and 139 kPa larger \( \text{pmax} \) \( (P = 0.001) \) were associated with endoprosthesis implantation 1 year earlier. Furthermore, a satisfactory bivariate regression model with body weight and peak contact hip stress normalized to the body weight acting as independent variables was constructed explaining 14.0% of variability in age at hip arthroplasty (adjusted \( R^2 = 0.140; \ P = 0.004) \).

**Discussion**

Our study has shown a significant negative correlation between age at hip arthroplasty and contact hip stress \( \text{pmax} \) – suggesting compressive stress plays an important role in progression of hip OA. Obesity – body weight and unfavorable biomechanical constitution of the pelvis – \( \text{pmax/Wb} \) – were both associated with younger age at arthroplasty.

No previous study has examined the relationship between mechanical loading within the hip joint and age at hip arthroplasty. Our results support the thesis that body weight might be a more important risk factor in coxarthrosis occurrence than BMI \( ^3 \), with its mechanical influence exerted through an increase in \( R \) and the enlargement of \( \text{pmax} \). While \( \text{R/Wb} \) is widely used in implant design as a determinant of hip joint biomechanics \( ^{20} \), our findings suggest that \( \text{pmax/Wb} \) might be better in representing the biomechanical constitution of the pelvis responsible for hip cartilage pathology. As the regression model with \( \text{pmax} \) serving as an independent variable provided best correlation with age at hip arthroplasty, enlarged contact stress in the standing position \( \text{[MPa]} \) is proposed to be the principal mechanical entity behind articular cartilage damage over ones’ lifetime \( \text{[years]} \). Occupational surveys on heavy lifting, in which both weight of the burdens \( \text{(at least 10–20 kg)} \) and the duration of lifting \( \text{(at least 10–20 years)} \) were associated with increased risk of hip OA \( ^{21} \), further support this claim. However, it appears that lifelong joint trauma in long-distance runners does not increase the risk of hip OA, presumably due to change in joint fluid composition and adaptive soft-tissue hypertrophy \( ^{22} \), making the association between mechanical stress and cartilage degeneration less straightforward and meriting further research.

Since timing of the operation is influenced by clinical as well as social-economic factors \( ^{23} \), age at hip arthroplasty is not a validated, but rather improvised surrogate for hip OA onset, which poses a limitation of our study. Also, although self-reported weight was shown to strongly correlate with direct measurements \( ^{24} \), good mnemonic skills of the subjects were required and could have biased the reported data. Inability of the HIPSTRESS method to account for the size of the fovea and for the decrease in hip joint contact surface during walking leads to general underestimation of \( \text{pmax} \) values \( ^7 \), but without influence on their inter-relations. As our institution is the only referring facility for hip and back pain within the area, and as consecutively operated patients were selected for the study, the crude sample can be viewed as population-based. However, strict radiographic exclusion criteria could have biased the sample towards selection of younger subjects with fewer radiographic signs but more debilitating clinical signs of OA, which were unfortunately not assessed. In order to obtain sufficient sample size which is another limitation of our study, hips with early radiographic signs of OA were included. If we would include only healthy hips, we could have lengthened the time interval between pelvic radiographs taking and hip arthroplasty, but would have decreased the sample size even further.

By focusing on most constant body weight we minimized a negative effect that direct measurements at one specific time might have. Also, distinctive radiological requirements were followed in order to enable reliable HIPSTRESS model implementation. While determining peak contact hip stress from readily available pelvic radiographs presents an affordable, reliable and harmless way of acquiring appropriate data, its use in further clinical research is expected. Further strength of our study is that only women with idiopathic disease were included.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that among women with idiopathic hip OA, younger age at hip arthroplasty is associated with enlarged contact hip stress – \( \text{pmax} \) – with both obesity and unfavorable biomechanical constitution of the pelvis contributing. These data suggest that increased mechanical stress may have a deleterious role in progression of hip OA but further longitudinal studies are required to elucidate the role of contact stress in hip OA development.
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